



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/448,756	11/24/1999	JUN KOYMA	0756-2070	5419
22204 7				· .
NIXON PEABODY, LLP			EXAMINER	
8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800			BELL, PAUL A	
MCLEAN, VA 22102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2675	20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/448,756	KOYMA ET AL.
. Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit
w'		
7. 444,000 0475 - (4)	PAUL A BELL	2675
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 30 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of 1 (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in f the appeal
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		тию арреан.
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b		,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
NOTE:		. ,
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): 112 1P or 1-38.	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-38.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disappo	roved by the Examiner
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		
	(s)(F10-1449) Face (a)(s)	th
10. Other:	STEVEN SA SUPERVISORY PATEN TECHNOLOGY CEN	it examiner

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: both displays made of LCD or LED emit light and each change luminous strength by electrical means. If applicant amends with (medium, "which is an emissive material", capable) it would overcome prior art of record but would be a new issue that would require a further search.